## Speech originalities of autochthon Georgians - Laz and Livanians - in Murghuli ravine (Questions of code-mixing and code-switching)

Theme actuality

One of the historical parts of south-west Georgia, Livana//Ligana//Nigali – speech originalities of Lazian and Livanian autochthon Georgian inhabitants in Murghuli ravine are discussed in thesis work. Mentioned part at present and historically is the zone of interconnection of Lazian (privately - Khopian) and Meskhian (Livanian) dialects. Nowadays Murghuli ravine belongs to Artvin IIi (province) of Turkey and is a ilçe (region). some village of ravine belongs to neighbor Borcka region.

Dialect of Livanians from Murghuli is Murghuli-Livanian sub-dialect of Livanian dialect, and Lazians - from Murghuli - Murghuli-Lazian subdialect of Khopian dialect. Lazian moved lately (from the beginning of XX century) from Lazeti sea-side communities (mostly from Athina and artasheni ) are in ravine. So, with Murghuli-Lazian sub-dialect, Athina - Artashenian dialect is among Murghuleli Lazi (privately-Dutkhe and Ghvandi sub-dialects). Generally, it's hard to understand between Livanian and Lazian dialects; also it's very hard to understand between Khopian Murghuli-Lazian sub-dialect and (on one hand) and Dutkhe and Ghvandi sub-dialects of Athina-Artashenian (secondly).

Livanian and Laz inhabitants in Murghuli ravine know sub-dialects of each other. It's interesting, that there is homogenous, mixed Livanian-Lazian speech, which was used during every day communications between Livanian and Lazi. Nowadays state language —Turkish has its function, which in Murghuli ravine (and wholly -in historical south-west parts of Georgia: in Lazeti, Tao, Imerkevi or elsewhere) functions as literary, so dialectical. Also its important to note, that old generation of Murghuleli Georgians (mostly –more than 70 year old people) keep Georgian-Turkish Pidgin, which until mid period of 50 years of last century was used for communication between Murghuleli and ethnic non-Georgian, (before Turkish language schools were opened in ravine) (mostly Georgian people are in Murghuli ravine, though there are many Khemshils {Muslim Armenians}, gypsies and Tarakamas).

It follows from above-mentioned, at present both types of lingual codes mixing are considered in Murghuli ravine -Code switching and Code mixing, herewith, as Georgian-Turkish bilingualism, so Georgian (Lazian -

mixing, nerewin, as Georgian Fathers are time in ravine.

Livanian J diglossia is confirmed at the same time in ravine.

From Georgian linguists except a few, paid less attention to the issue of From Georgian inguise except a rew, pain ress attention to the issue of liquid codes mixing, while in west it was and is paid great attention, for example, studying of transitive dialects, creolized languages or Pijines started from II half of XIX century (Hugo Shukhardti), nowadays research of Idioms formed from language codes mixing is carried out on high level .

As it was already mentioned, at present Georgian-Turkish bilingualism and Georgian diglossia are confirmed at the same time in Murghuli ravine that is why, it is very urgent to research lingual situation here. For information-there are not many such regions in the world, where billingualism and diglossia are confirmed at the same time: Thus much difficult lingual situation supports codes mixing and forming mixed speech (Pidgin, Creole...). It is natural process, so its necessary to enlist in time and with maximum precision as facts of interactive dialects (or languages), so slangs formed from their mixing (Switching lingual-speech codes).

Generally, at present during lingual research in dwelling regions belonging to Turkey of historical Georgian parts or ancestors of Georgian Muhajirs, its urgent to direct attention to speech codes mixing, because Turkish is deemed as prestigious language, and autochthon Georgians don't have opportunity to be educated even elementary education in native language. (They are able to speak Georgian only in family and native villages). Accordingly, Georgian speech of this place faces the threat of extinction:

In Turkey local linguists showed less interest (and show) for issues of lingual codes mixing before, even though most of the regions of Turkey (particularly outskirt parts) were bilingual from the beginning and are at (particularly outskirt parts) were billingual from the obstances present. Also it's interesting, that research of Turkish dialects in Turkey started from the second half of XX century, while Turkology was formed from the beginning of the same century as a private branch abroad. Turkish linguists nowadays —on account of clear reasons — avoid talking about foreign substrate in Turkish dialects, even though it is fact. Although, in this regard, there are exceptions- for instance we should name Georgian scientist Turgut Ajari, who investigates Turkish dialects of Artvini.

So, we think, theme of our thesis work is too actual.

Tasks, object and purpose of research

The purpose of research was to describe and analyze report showing interaction of Georgian dialects (Murghuli-Livanian and Murghuli-Lazuri) considering the hardest lingual situation in Murghuli ravine from the beginning; we also reviewed, in general, the issues of interaction of Livanian and Lazian dialects in Murghuli ravine, on one hand- Murghuli-Lazian dialects (which is a part of Khopian dialect) and on the second hand dialects of Lazi moved in Murghuli from Athina and Artasheni communities: issues of Dutkhuri and Ghvanduri inter action and so on.

Also research purpose was to review the most important issues of Georgian-Turkish lingual codes mixing, though adding here, that it was not our aim to study Turkish dialectal speech of Murghuli ravine (In which Georgian substrate is noticeable, we studied only Georgian -Turkish Pidgin, discussed its relations with autochthon Georgians dialects of Murghuli Livanian (Murghuli-Livanian) and Khopian (Murghuli-Lazian).

It follows from all above-mentioned, Murghuli-Livanian, Murghuli-Lazian dialects, Athina –Artashenian dialect (its time to say: speech of Lazi ancestors moved in Murghuli ravine from Athina -Artasheni communities), Switching of Georgian code (Lazian -Livanian) and Georgian-Turkish Pidgin were in research object.

Accordingly, our main task was:

- Showing -establishing Murghuli-Livanian and Murghuli-Lazian originalities;
  - Establishing similarities and differences between these dialects, Showing primary and secondary mutual vocabulary in mentioned
- dialects
- Review the main characteristics of Georgian speech codes switching;
  - 5) Review general issues of Georgian-Turkish inter action.

#### The results of research

During research much originality was revealed, that were never discussed in scientific literature, or they were not paid proper attention. Each such fact is properly shown in our work.

In general, theses of western linguists are defined in thesis work that

were formed from European specificity and so far were used in Georgian linguistic invariably. We tried, to envisage Georgian specificity and after conclude.

Importance of work in theoretic and practical standpoint

Our thesis work concerns to issue, that is too important in contemporary linguistic during research of dialectical differentiation language history, or relative or non relative Idioms relation. At the same time, regarding Georgian lingual system, studying of speech codes mixing will allow us to establish these regulations that promoted to form Georgian dialects of different groups in different periods of language history- as it is known, there were many standpoints relative to this, that were wrong and accordingly against interests of Georgian pation in Georgian soviet science. accordingly against interests of Georgian nation in Georgian soviet science of language.

Research method

During working on thesis work we used Diasystemic and historicalcomparative methods of research.

Also we paid attention to field work together with working out scientific literature about work theme-we got trustful dialectic texts, observed speech of Georgians from Murghuli locally -in the villages of Murghuli ravine; as or Georgians from Murgiant rocary—in the viriages of margiant favine, as far as it was possible, envisaged typical originality of almost every village in thesis work; represented research results stage by stage, in scientific papers or articles; that we read at scientific conferences or published while working on thesis work.

Thesis work consists 253 pages and is divided in four chapters; is enclosed with introduction and conclusion, list of used and quoted literature.

# Introduction: General description of Murghuli ravine

Location of Murghuli ravine, villages. Murghuli ravine is situated in Turkish republic on border of Lazeti (Chaneti) and Livana (Ligana, Nigali) two historical parts of south-west Georgia. Outfall of River Murghuli is in Chaneti Mountains, which Inflows River Chorokhi in the lower reaches of the river, south-east of city Borchkha.

the river, south-east of city Borenkna.

Murghuli ravine, as a separate historical-geographic unit, includes not only villages on both banks of river Murghuli, but also its outfall down side-several populated points located on left bank of Chorokhi. Overall, ravine area is 450 km² relief is mountainous. Side is rich with useful fossils. By modern administrative division, most part of Murghuli ravine belongs to Murghuli region of Artvini Ili of Turkish republic (province), smaller part in Borchkhi region of the same Ili.

At present, administrative center of Murghuli region is city Murghuli, that is called Chinkatxevi or Chinkaghali//Chinkaghele by local population. It is located in upper side of Murghuli ravine.

1.2. Inhabitants of Murghuli ravine. Murghuli ravine has 10 000 inhabitants according to (2008 y) recent information of Turkey. 3 500 people live in city Murghuli of Murghuli region, in the villages-4 000<sup>1</sup>, about 2 500 people live in the villages belonging to Borchkhi region. 99% of ravine inhabitant is Georgian –Lazi or Livanian. Most Lazi

99% of ravine inhabitant is Georgian —Lazi or Livanian. Most Lazi people are in Bujuri, in Aducha down district of Durcha, in Komo/tsaleni kura of Kura district, Ereguna and Avana; though generally, they live with Livanian people in almost every village of down Murghuli (in city Murghuli too) and accordingly, speak Lazian and Livanian more or less equally. Mostly Livanian people live in upper Murghuli. As upper Murghuli inhabitants so down Murghuli inhabitants have close relationship with each other and also with Lazebi dwelling in Chkhali or Orchi ravines.

In addition, there are many Lazi people in villages of upper side of ravine moved from Athina- Artasheni communities, who speak only native Lazian (Athina-Artashenian) and Turkish dialects on the whole or have poor knowledge of Livanian, they call Lazi people from Murghuli Chanurepe or "Chanelebi". Livanian also call Chanebi not only from Murghuli but generally every Laz. Liganurepe is a traditional name of Livanian people in Lazi, and Ligana is for Livana. In order to obtain more specific information about their own origination.

Livanian people in Laz, and Ligana is located as specific information about their own origination

Lazebi from Murghuli and Livanian people use term Murghulari "Murghulian". It's also noticeable, that officially listed names are not used.

Except Georgians, Tarakamebi inhabit in ravine villages (one of the local ethno group of Turkey), Kurds and khemshilebi too (Muslim Armenians); they rarely know Livanian or/and Lazian. As a rule, officially, each dweller of Murghuli region despite of ethnic origination is deemed as Turkish. Each Georgian from Murghuli knows also, state language-Turkish (except a few very old men). At present, it is not infrequently used simultaneously of Georgian dialects in every day life and fewlife and fewl

simultaneously of Georgian dialects in every day life and families.

1.3. From historical past of Murghuli ravine. Ravine of river Murghuli, as the whole Livana and Lazeti was the part of Klarjeti of one of the Georgian "country" in old times. Klarjeti was a part of old Kartli (Iberia) as Saeristavo. Eristavi residence was in Artanuji. Vakhtang Gorgasali has built Church-monasteries here. In VIII century royal dynasty of Bagrationi was settled here, reign of which lasted until XIX century in Georgia. In VIII century Arabs ravaged Klarjeti. Part of saved people was

annihilated by epidemic. In IX century king Ashot I restored side and started monastery construction on Grigol Khandzteli initiative. There were built many Georgian church-monasteries in Klarjeti.

In XVI-XVII centuries Klarjeti with other Georgian historicalethnographic sides was conquered by Ottomans. They made local population become Muslims by force, though could not make them forget native language and traditions. In XVIII century Ottomans allocated LIvana in Lazistani Phashadom as a separate region. It is clear, this administrative unit included Murghuli ravine too.

As a result of 1877-1878 YY Russia-Ottoman, Adzharia, shavshev-Imerkhevi, Tao and Livana including Murghuli fell to Russian empire lot. Also Small part of Lazeti fell under empire boundaries —Chkhali and Beghlevani ravines. Russians united the whole this territory in Batumi region. From the end of 1878, Muhajiroba or exile of Muslim Georgians in Ottoman Empire was started in newly annexed Georgian sides, also-in Murghuli ravine. Russian officials with ottoman agents and Muslim clerics actively encouraged people to exile in ottomans.

In 1911 famous Georgian linguist loseb Kipshidze traveled in Murghuli ravine —he collected information about Lazian speech. By the way, he notes, that there was copper foundry factory built by English people in village Chinkatkhevi. Workers from different parts of Russia and Turkey came here for work. There was bazaar, school, where except Russian, Georgian and Turkish languages were taught for children of Georgian Muslims and hotel, the owners of which were Shalva Paghava and Mikheil Gabunia came from Samegrelo; According to Kipshidze this time Chinkatkhevi slowly appropriated common name of ravine-"Murghuli" (Kipshidze 1911, pg.11-III).

(Kipshidze 1911, pg.II-III).

During the First World War—after starting military actions on Caucasus front (1914 y. 2 November); in ottomans invaded Russian army was soon forced to retreat and took defensive position. General of infantry Nikolai Istomin, who leaded attack, blamed this failure on "Georgian legion" and local Georgian Muslims—According to general this latter took in rear Russian army when Georgian legion in staff of Ottomans army was involved in battle and made Russians to retreat. It is noticeable, that this information of Istomini is not quite true, "Georgian legion" was not involved in military actions, and Georgian Muslims were irritated on account of arbitrariness of Russian soldiers.

Lately, when Ottomans captured Murghuli province as a result of next attack and threatened Artvini, failure of Russian army was blamed on Georgian again -Russian generals informed deputy Vorontsov-Dashkovi lots of secret reports, where they described "betray" of Georgians in detail. On 5 November of 1914 Ottomans conquered Artvini and continued going in advance to Batumi. There was a panic in Russian army-soldiers refused to place under the command to commanders and retreated in disorder. Soon, Russian army defeated Ottomans at Sarikamishi and started

Soon, Russian army defeated Ottomans at Sarikamishi and started powerful counter-attack from 17-19 January of 1915 and in the end Ottomans armies were banished from Batumi and Karsi regions in March of the same year. Military actions moved in Ottoman territory directly (Lazistani Sanjaki). In such circumstance, leading by General Vladimer Liakhovi in Batumi region, punitive subunits of Cossacks were sent, who should establish order here.

Inhabitants of Murghuli ravine revolted against Cossacks arbitrariness, but Russians repressed actions of the masses, and twice increased the number of soldiers set in the villages. They destroyed most people of revolt leaders or caught and exiled in Siberia. According to English historian David Lengi, during this operation Liakhovi armies victimized 100 thousand inhabitants of Murghuli ravine. Extremely oppressed Murghuleli, who could not resist, decided to go as Muhajiri in Ottomans—In summer of 1915 the first stream of Muhajiri crossed the front line and moved in Lazistanti Saniaki.

Insignificant part of Murghuleli Muhajiri under the weather abroad

At last went back to homeland in December 1917, when Russian army left Caucasus. Returning process of Muhajirs lasted almost until 1920 year, and with significant hinders. Because of different reasons, more than half of Georgian Muhajirs left from ravine could not go back to native land and were forced to stay in west Turkey for ever, where their descendents still live here.

Since 1920 Murghuli ravine, as a part of Batumi region belonged to the first republic of Georgia. And by Karsi treaty power of 1922 it was assigned to republic of Turkey.

## Lingual situation in Murghuli (General review)

2.1. For classification of Georgian speech units widespread in Murghuli ravine.

Lazian speech of Zanian group of Georgian marginal dialects is spread in Murghuli ravine -Khopian and (Partially) Athina-Artashenian dialects; also-Livanian dialect in Meskhetian group. Mixing of speech codes is fixed

50

in ravine that is why Lazian and Livanian are characterized with much originality. It follows from that, that this version of Khopian dialect, which is spoken by inhabitant Lazians of Murghuli, we call Murghuli-Lazian, and speech of Livanian inhabitants of Murghuli, accordingly- Murghuli-Livanian

Murghuli-Lazian sub-dialect belongs to Chkhala subdialect.Lazian speech (more exactly-Khopian) widespread in river Chkhala ravine is marked by this latter name in the north of Murghuli ravine. Chkhaluri is the least studied – for the first time it was described by loseb Kipshidze in 10 years of the last century and Professor Guram Kartozia was in Chkhala in 1991-1992 YY. He wrote the texts and published some of them.

As it is already said Murghuli-Livanian subdialect is a part of Livanian dialect. This latter is less studied: For the first time Livanian texts were written in 80 years of the last century and lately Professor Shushana Putkaradze published it. (Sh. Putkaradze 1993, pg. 296-334) she briefly reviewed in her dissertation typical effects of Livanian dialect with other Georgian dialects widespread in Turkey. Kirnat-Maradiduli sub-dialect of

mentioned dialect is researched by Professor Nargiza Surmava.

Also Athina-Artashenian dialect is widespread in Murghuli ravine, which was mostly spoken by Lazi descendents moved from sea side Lazeti Athina and Artasheni communities in XIX-XX. Most of them live in village Ereguna and city Murghuli. Their speech is closer to the speech of upper zone villages of Athina and Artasheni communities. (Ghvandi, Dutkhe, Komilo, Vizha...) although, it is natural that Murghuli-Lazian influence is

2.2 Turkish language and Georgian –Turkish pidgin in Murghuli. Turkish language is generally widespread in Murghuli ravine –as already mentioned, at present it is used in every day communications and families in parallel of Georgian dialects. It is stipulated, because Murghuleli people as other Georgian citizens of Turkish republic are educated in Turkish .Official business correspondence and TV-radio channels broadcasting are made in this language.

It is noticeable that Turkish language in Murghuli functions in two ways: 1) as official literary and 2) as colloquial-dialectical; the first -during official communications and in mass media, also in schools, the second in daily life. There is a perceptible difference between standard and dialectical

51

## Analyze of actual literature about research question

Our thesis work concerns to questions of mixing-inter actions and relations with Turkish language of Khopian (privately-Murghuli-Lazian sub dialect) and Livanian (Murghuli-Livanian sub dialect) of dialectical units of Zanian and Meskhuri group, which have not been studied properly by anyone so far.

It follows from that, literature analyzed by us should be divided in two groups; 1) literature about Lazian and Livanian dialects; 2) literature about speech codes mixing and diglossia.

We used more than 200 kinds of articles or monographs in Georgian and foreign languages while working on dissertation work, full list of which are enclosed to our work.

#### I Chapter: General originalities of Livanian speech (According to Murghuli ravine information)

We will discuss general originalities typical for Livanian speech of Murghuli in the first chapter of thesis work, based on corresponding scientific literature and findings obtained by us. We give lots of illustrated phrases in order to present/analyze general tasks of mentioned theme

Phonetic originalities. There are long vowels of secondary origination with five simple vowels (A, E, I, O, U) in Murghuli-Livanian vowels system. Palatalized is too rare, which are mostly observed in loanwords from Turkish language, it is too rare, neutral, with consonant dividing function. Only simple vowels have phonetic value, the rest of are positional sounds.

Consonants system almost completely coincides with Consonants system of literary language and other Georgian dialects. 30 consonants are confirmed. 25 of them are non-sonorous, 5 -sonorous. On the other hand, spirant consonants are divided into occlusive and breaking, sonorous- nasal and oral.

Morphological originalities. Murghuli-Livanian takes uniform transitive place with morphological originalities between Khopian dialect (privately, its chkhaluri sub dialect) and east sub dialect of Livanian dialect.

Borchkha-Livanian sub dialect is close to it, kirnat-maradidian speech is part of this, which is widespread in Georgia at present.

Case type is one in Murghuli-Livanian, but there are several types within this one type- there are name groups because of case originalities. It is noticeable, that according to case originalities in Murghuli-Livanian subdialect should be allocated six groups of names, instead of eight, as Professor Tariel Putkaradze allocated in literary language, though eight groups system is starting;

Name characteristics of the first and second groups will be the same as literary herewith, firstly these names will be combined by professor Tariel Putkaradze after the example of literary language, mark of nominative case in the sixth group in the names of the third group is —I or zero; narrative-man; and in genitive and instrumental cases have two allophone of marks: -is//-s and —it//-1, also these names will be combined in the third group, that are combined in the fifth group after literary example by Tariel Putkaradze.:

Putkarauze.:

General characteristics will be the same in the fourth, seventh and eighth groups as in literaly language.

In Murghuli-Livanian, from paradigm originalities side verb generally follows to Meskhetian dialect, though is close to Khopian dialect. In general, Georgian verbal system is well protected here.

Like Georgian literary language and dialects, verb does not have infinitive in Murghuli-Livanian sub dialect. As a rule, it's replaced by

initial or verbal noun, which is a declinable word.

All these prefixes are confirmed in Murghuli-Livanian, that we have in literary language; Prefix replacement cases are observed too. Herewith, it is noticeable, that preferentially in Murghuli-Livanian action place or

direction is given with prefix.

Professor Tariel Putkaradze presented Georgian verbal forms as three levels paradigm (Putkaradze 2012), where the first level forms are made by yowel prefixes, causative and other semantic originalities different verbal forms. The second level is made by tense-mood-aspect different verbal

forms (row forms), the third-different person and number forms.

These theses of Prof. Tariel Putkaradze were used in order to construct Murghuli-Livanian and Murghuli-Lazian verbal paradigms. Herewith, paradigms of both mentioned sub dialects are little different from literary language paradigms- generally difference is phonetic and does not put actual obstacles. It is also noticeable, that verbal paradigms constructed according to these theses of Prof. Putkaradze show comparably perfectly Murghuli-Livanian and Murghuli-Lazian verb system, as of other Georgian

5

From the difference of literary language, in the first level (high) paradigm of Murghuli-Livanian sub dialect verbal forms are also one-valency and two-valency forms of potential action, and in the second level (classical) paradigm form of future tense, conjunctive mood and perfect aspect are missed, the rest of paradigms are identical of literary language.

General syntactic facts. Transitive verb makes ergative construction in I and II series: Oxorjak doxvenups ochkomale "woman was cooking", oxorjak doxvenu ochkomale "woman has cooked"-there is identical situation in Lazian dialects also. herewith, Murghuli-Livanian is different from Lazian dialect on the one hand, and on the other hand from literary language, because there are not strict norms whether transitive verb makes ergative construction-only in II (as in literary language) or in I also (as in Lazian dialects) series.

#### II chapter: General originalities of Lazian speech (According to Murghuli ravine information)

In the first chapter of thesis work we discuss general originalities of Livanian speech of Murghuli based on proper scientific literature and findings obtained by us.

2.1. Phonetic originalities. In general, vowels system of Lazian dialects is simple. Like literary Georgian, there are five simple vowel phoneme: a,e,i,o,u. They are presented in three Lazian dialects

phoneme: a,e,i,o,u. They are presented in three Lazian dialects. Consonants system of Lazian dialects almost completely coincides with Consonants system of literary language and other Georgian dialects. 30 consonants system of literary language and other Georgian dialects. 30 consonants are confirmed. 26 of them are non-sonorous, 4-sonorous. On the other hand, non-sonorous consonants are divided into occlusive and breaking, sonorous- nasal and oral.

From specific consonants f is notable, which is a perfect phoneme at present.

2.2 Morphological originalities. There are two types of cases in Lazian dialects –one theme and two themes. Historically consonant theme names in singular number are characterized by two themes cases. They have nominative case mark -i in other cases too; historically vowel theme names are characterized by one theme case. It is notable, that all names in plural number are characterized by two themes cases.

In consequence of cases originalities in Murghuli-Livanian sub dialect, based on classification of Prof. Tariel Putkaradze, we divided 6 groups. (Instead of 8 groups of literary language). Here we noted that such

circumstance is secondary and is the result of leveling. In Lazian dialects leveling process is further, privately:

The first, second and eight group names will be combined as one group

The first, second and eight group names will be combined as one group in Lazian dialects-as two themes. They will have i of nominative case in dative and narrative cases: these names will be combined in this group, which are combined in the sixth group by Prof. Tariel Putkaradze after literary language example.

The third, fourth and fifth group names are combined in separate group with the following mark-nominative mark is zero, case marks are enclosed to theme directly.

In comparison with literary language, there are more prefixes and in

comparison with Megrelian dialect-fewer.

According to Prof. Tariel Putkaradze we constructed Murghuli-Lazian verbal paradigms.

From the difference of another Lazian dialects and sub dialects, in Murghuli-Lazian verbal paradigm one valency form of addressed action is typical, also-two valency forms- such style is rare in other Lazian dialects. From difference of literary language, there are one valency and two valency forms of potential action in the first level (big) paradigm of verbal forms. And in the second level (classical) paradigm forms of future tense, conjunctive mood and perfect aspect are missed, in return for we have many conditional mood forms, the rest of paradigms are identical of literary language.

2.3. General syntactic facts. Transitive verb makes ergative construction, case marks are added to I and II verb person forms, which function as modifier particle: Xasani moxtushi man vinjirt'i "When Hasan came, I was sleeping..."

#### III chapter

# Questions of Livanian and Lazian speech inter-influence

- 3.1. The main point of speech code mixing and code switching. There are two main types of lingual codes mixing in special literature: code mixing and code switching; the first stage means diachronical process-obtaining C lingual code on the basis of A and B lingual codes mixing, the second type means synchronous process- contacting co-existence of A and B lingual codes in equal (when both lingual codes are equal) or unequal (when one of the lingual code is more prestigious) conditions.
- 3.2. Speech codes mixing in Murghuli ravine. One of the best sample of code-switching is this: Ma zayde mkwia da gurjijac wici, lazjac wici,

turkchac wici ama oqumushum yok "My name is Zahide and I know Georgian {Livanian}, Lazian, Turkish, but have not learnt {"read"}"). That is an extract form written text of Lazian old woman in down Murghuli – Zahide Ozildizi. Five types of code switching are confirmed in mentioned

- 1) Tag-switching-(Lazian+ Livanian);
- 2) Intrasentential switching (Turkish +Livanian); 3) Extrasentential switching (Livanian + Turkish)
- 4) Phonemic-morphemic switching (Turkish +Livanian);
- 5) calque switching (Turkish phrase, constructed according to Livanian {Georgian} norm).

One sample of Lazian-Livanian Phonemic-morphemic and kalkuri switching is also this fixed sentence during talking with down Murghuleli: E cxeni borchxasas cewdeen megerem da eka xemshilemma er hadas naxeenan ("It turned out this horse went to Borchkha and Khemshils saw here som

3.3. Separation of dialects/languages historical inter-influence and systemic identity in contemporary linguistic. We have already noted above, that forms made on the basis of dialects systemic identity and inter-influence should be differed from each other abruptly. This principle was not protected in Georgian soviet linguistic, as far as Megrelian-Lazian and Svanian dialects were deemed as kindred lingual systems separated from Georgian and accordingly, many facts confirming systemic identity between them and Georgian dialects were often deemed to be formed under the influence of Georgian (or on the contrary)

Systemic Identity and inter-influence of dialects/languages are already abruptly separated in contemporary western linguistic.

3.4. Forms and facts formed (or kept) as a result of Lazian-Livanian historical inter-influence in Murghuli-Livanian and Murghuli-Lazian. Historical interrelation of Livanian dialect of Meskhettan dialect group and Khopian dialect of Megrelian-Lazian dialects group in Murghuli ravine also formed switched dialect, herewith, we are able to suppose, that switched dialect might be originated when ottomans conquered South Georgia once and for all, strictly forbade here activity of apostolic Orthodox Church of Georgia, forced local Georgians to be converted Islamic (XVII C); this all was followed naturally by weakening literary Georgian language position in this region.

Switched dialect was somehow a temporary way in order two Georgian communities not to break off relations with each other during ottomans expansion;

Switched dialect of Lazian -Livanian played similar "mediator" role between dialects indeed, it's formation as a dialect was impeded because of deliberated factor.

It follows from above-mentioned, that we should deem forms or facts as formed as a result of historical inter-influence of Lazian and Livanian dialects, which are formed not by systemic identity, but "mediated" by Lazian-Livanian switched dialect.

3.5. Lexical fund formed/kept as a result of historical interinfluence in Murghuli-Livanian and Murghuli-Lazian. It's generally known, that first of all inter-influence of languages and dialects is shown in lexicology. Word loaning is too natural and factually, limitless process, especially in cases of Diglossia and Bilingualism.

When we are talking about formation of common lexical fund of secondary origination as a result of historical inter-influence between dialects, we should define more exactly that we can deem as loaned only branch lexicology from dialect into dialect (or from subdialect into subdialect), or these words, which denote specific realias characterized for community with each dialect/sub dialect. For example, terminology of navigation, carpenter and oil construction in Murghuli-Livanian is from Lazian, some terms of hunt and cookery and names of these plants, which are imported in Murghuli from Lazeti... also for the most, terminology of cattle-breeding and bee-keeping is Livanian in Murghuli-Lazian, names of these plants, which do not grow in Lazeti, names of these dishes, that are not known in Lazeti and etc.

3.6. Contacts of Athina-Artashenian with Khopian and Livanian in Murghuli ravine. Descendents of Lazs live in several villages of Murghuli ravine moved at different times from Lazeti, Athina and Artasheni communities, many of them have protected native Athina-Artashenian dialect more or less well.

Dialect of Lazi people from Athina and Artasheni inhabiting in Murghuli ravine joined to Dutkhuri speech of Athina sub dialect of Athina-Artashenian and Ghvandur-Dzghenur sub dialect; though influence of Murghuli-Lazian and Chkhaluri sub dialects of Khopian dialect is too

# VI chapter:

#### Questions of Georgian and Turkish dialect codes mixing

- 4.1. General review. In Murghuli ravine as in other historical parts of st Georgia-Turkish (more exactly-Ottoman) gains a foothold in the XVII-XVIII centuries: In this period Ottomans finished annexation of Lazeti, Tao-Klarjeti and Samtskhe-Javakheti and accordingly, announced Ottoman-Turkish here as the only official language, and strictly forbade activity of Georgian Orthodox Church in annexed sides
- 4.2. North-east Turkey in Turkish dialects for common Georgian (Caucasian) facts. The main interesting fact for us, which is noted by almost all Turkologist are so called "Caucasian glotals" (p',t',k',ts',ch',)in north-east Turkish dialects. Known Turkologist, Rozalia Shori noted in 1930, that sharp consonants were confirmed in Turkish language population living in Artvin-Artaani (Turkey) and Atskuri (Georgia): "It is interesting, that these clear sounds are not only in Georgian or Lazian loanwords, but in basic Turkish words."

Herewith, famous Russian linguist of Georgian-Scottish origination -Nikolai (Niko) Mari and his son orientalist Iuri Mari testify above given information of Rozalia Shori in mutual work "remarks regarding Turkish language of Abastumani environment" (In Russian language, Moscow-Leningrad, 1937) and cite dozens of other examples confirming Leningrad, 1937) and cite availability of Georgian glotal consonant sounds in Turkish dialect.

Availability of sharp consonants is denoted also in Turkish dialects

widespread in historical Lazeti and Rize-of-Trabzon. (See more about it articles and monographs of Bert Bradman, Afrasiab Vekilov and especially Miika Rasanen)

- 4.3. Word-themes of Georgian origination in Turkish dialectical lexicology. Especially intensely, Georgian substrate shows itself in the north -east dialects lexicology, where thousand Georgian word-themes are found: For example. In the dictionary of Artvinul-Karsuli dialect, which is published in the Internet, on web-page <a href="http://www.artvinliyiz.net">http://www.artvinliyiz.net</a> and includes more than 30 thousand words, we have confirmed about 9 thousand Georgian themes or words.
- 4.4. Contemporary Georgian-Turkish Pidgin in Murghuli ravine. At present Georgian-Turkish Pidgin is used in many cases in Murghuli ravineduring communication with Turkish language Individuals, These old people speak Pidgin, who do not know Turkish language well. When parents want to say something in presence of children under age in families Turkish connoisseur) they use Pidgin. Pidgin is also kept in jokes and other

58

similar memorials of folk speech, where Individuals with poor knowledge of Turkish and Georgian (Lazian and Livanian) are overacted.

As a rule, Pidgin is based on Artvinul-Turkish dialect-has its

- grammatical structure, though phonetics and lexicology are Georgian
- 4.5. Toponyms of Murghuli ravine in standpoint of Georgian-Turkish dialect code-mixing. In 50 years of last century, each toponym of non Turkish was origination was officially changed with Turkish names
- in Turkey.
  Old (Georgian) and new (official) names of Murghuli ravine villages are mostly formed from different themes. Rarely, old theme is kept in Turkish name, sometimes Georgian name is not kept and phonetically modified Turkish name is used instead of it. Nowadays Etymology of great part of Georgian names of populated points is vague.
- As for Turkish names of the villages: principle of their names is absolutely different: too rarely, It is possible to be certain semantic affinity between Georgian and Turkish names, Micro toponym of Murghuli ravine between Georgian and Turkish names, which topolity in Willages is too interesting in standpoint of dialects codes mixing. Herewith, this should be noted also, that districts and places of the villages not infrequently have bilingual —Georgian-Turkish names. Young generation knows Turkish names mostly, only old people remember Georgian. Here this should be noted also, that sometimes unofficial names are used in
- parallel with Turkish name, which is also Turkish.

  4.6. Georgian-Turkish dialects codes mixing in anthroponomy. As it follows from Muslim traditions, at present people from Murghuli use names of eastern origination (Arabic, Turkish, Persian...); herewith, each name is confirmed as phonetically changed.

Besides, as a rule, official form of names given here are different from confirmed forms between Murghuleli people, it is interesting, that not infrequently, these names appear as abbreviated or with Georgian diminutive suffixes. Herewith, abbreviated form of this or that name, sometimes appear separate, as independent anthroponomy. As a rule, last syllable or sound is removed when name is abbreviated: Mustava-Musta, Resan-Resa,Shemsuddin-Shemsu//Shemso, Nurettin-Nuret, Abdula-Abdu, Ibrehim-Ibre//Ibro, Zelikhe-Zeli, Meriem-Meri, Sabrie-Sabi, Asie-Asi, Urie//Khurie-Uri//Khuri,Dursun-Duri,Nurulla-Nuri and etc. Diminutive suffixes may be added to these abbreviated forms: Resa-Resuka, khusein-Khusika,Shemso-Shemsikina, Zeli-Zelina,Uri-Uriko...

In some cases, diminutive form of name is significantly different from perfect form and in turn, is formed from diminutive again.

## Conclusion

In Murghuli ravine, where during centuries autochthonous Georgian (Lazian and Livanian dialects) and more prestigious Turkish lingual systems (standard norm and Artvin dialect) lingual systems co-exist, as Georgian (Lazian-Livanian) diglossia, so Georgian-Turkish bilingualism are fixed at the same time . This may be considered as a unique fact, although, to certain extent similar situation is confirmed also in other directly bordering sides of Georgia-Turkey: river Beghlevanistskali ravine (Borchkha region, Turkey), in both sides of Sarpy, (Khopi region, Turkey and Khelvachauri region, Georgia) and etc

In general, actual lingual situation in Murghuli ravine is valued as the most difficult: dialect of autochthon Georgians does not have an official status here; accordingly is absolutely unprotected from bad influence of Turkish language, although noting here, that Georgian-Turkish Pidgin, which was widespread in ravine before, is too rarely used nowadays, and thus -factually, there are not conditions for its creolization, that should certainly be followed by Georgian dialect absolute extinction here

On a basis of actual lingual situation analyze in Murghuli ravine nowadays, it is possible to establish the following- general theses:

- Dialect codes mixing process between dialects of one language, as a rule is more active in these places, where on account of certain reas common-national literary language does not function partially or at all. In such circumstances formation of switched dialect is related to national interests- Communities with different dialects keep close connection through switched or mixed dialect norms, in order national territory not to
- be broken into pieces and to oppose possible assimilation risks.

  2. On the one hand variation of Livanian dialect –Murghuli-Livanian sub dialect , and on the second hand variation of Khopian dialect – Murghuli-Lazian sub dialect drew together closely through Lazian-Livanian switched dialect. Exactly they make transitional dialect on the one hand, in detail between Khopian and Livanian dialects and on the second hand -between Zanian and Meskhetian groups of general Georgian marginal dialects.
- 3. Switched dialect may not be formed as a separate dialect/sub dialect, if deliberated factor impedes its witched dialect is considered as less prestigious and mostly is considered as "tortured language/dialect". If mentioned deliberated factor is weakened or disappeared, switched dialect

may be developed as an independent dialect -there are lots of samples of this all over the world.

- In so called transitive dialect, there is a great possibility of keeping general facts as original, as inculcation of innovations. Herewith, as transitive dialect is considered as a part of some dialects in many cases, these protected facts in it, which basic dialect does not have or have as changed, frequently seems to be loaned.
- Only branch vocabulary may be considered as loaned from dialect in dialect (or from sub dialect in sub dialect), these words, which denote specific realias defined for communities with each of this dialect/sub dialect.
- 6. In Georgian lingual system, facts formed on the basis of systemic identity and inter-influence should be abruptly differed from each other. This principle was not protected in Georgian soviet linguistic, because researchers considered Megrelian –Lazian and Svanian dialects as separated, kindred lingual systems from Georgian and accordingly, considered lots of actual /confirmed facts confirming systemic identity between them and other Georgian dialects as formed under the influence of Georgian (or contrary).
- On the basis of studying and analyzing obtained inform directly in Murghuli ravine, we were assured, that both sub dialects might be considered as mixed or to say in a different way –transitive/peripheral dialects fairly: each of them is characterized by the facts of Zanian and Meskhetian group dialects , On the one hand, exactly, that is why Murghuli-Livanian is different from other sub dialects of Livanian dialect, nd on the second hand, Murghuli-Lazian- from other sub dialects of Khopian dialect.
- Murghuli-Livanian is a sub dialect of Meskhetian type
   Murghuli-Lazian-Zanian: that is why they are different from each other. Lazian-Livanian switched dialect is not predisposed to be formed as an independent dialect, because "deliberated factor" functions in this case.
- This fact, that autochthon Georgian people living in Murghuli ravine Lazian and Livanian know dialects of each other more or less equally, not infrequently speak switched Lazian-Livanian, it means, that they realized their own ethnic unity from the beginning and were able to keep originality.
- Georgian-Turkish Pidgin was not creolized in Murghuli ravine-Autochthon Georgian people could protect themselves from actual danger of foreign environment by keeping close connections and did not master

Turkish as a native language, even though they contacted with Turkish origination/Turkish language inhabitants on their ethnic territory almost every day:

- 11. The processes went on interestingly in Murghuli ravine: Local Georgian communities could protect as their national identity and ethnic unity, so internal communities diversity and were not estranged for each other. For information: nowadays in Turkey a great part of Lazian people does not identify themselves with "Gurjebi", local Muslim Georgian people, not to say anything about Georgian citizens.
- 12. Georgian people of Murghuli ravine kept national originality and internal community division equally up today, but at present they are ahead of new challenges —Official language (Turkish), which functioned only nominally until 50 years of last century not only in ravine, but also in the north-east Turkey (In historical south-west Georgia for Georgian people), expanded usage sphere perceptibly (Already to say-started functioning), combined these functions, that Georgian-Turkish Pidgin had and these functions, that Lazian-Livanian switched dialect had.
- 13. Turkish language is used in parallel of Georgian dialect (Lazian and Livanian dialects) frequently in families —so, it slowly combines the functions of native language of Georgian people. (Presented as dialects and not as literary norm in Turkey). Besides lingual, it presages cultural assimilation too.

Existence of Murghuli-Livanian and Murghuli-Lazian dialects type of dialectical units is confirmed or is presumably spreading other variations of Georgian lingual systems on borders: we name from them: Choluruli, Kodoruli, Abashur-Imeruli and other dialects, it is urgent work to study them in detail.

# Articles of Mikheil Labadze about thesis theme:

- 1. Vowels system of Lazian dialects,"Georgian heritance" XII, Kutaisi, 2008;
- Phonetic originalities of Lazian dialect of Murghuli ravine (Turkey),"
   Problems of linguistic Georgian and Abkhazian studies", volume II,
   Tbilisi 2010;
- 3. "Year book II of Kutaisi scientific library named after Ilia Chavchavadze, Kutaisi, 2010; for question of Georgian dialectical codes mixing in Murghuli ravine (Republic of Turkey).